Rate Coefficient for Radical Desorption in Emulsion Polymerization

MAMORU NOMURA, Department of Industrial Chemistry, Fukui University, Fukui, Japan, and MAKOTO HARADA, Institute of Atomic Energy, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

Synopsis

Investigators have proposed the rate coefficient for radical desorption from polymer particles to explain the kinetic deviation of the emulsion polymerization of water-soluble monomers such as vinyl acetate and vinyl chloride from the classical Smith and Ewart theory.⁶ In this article, the rate coefficient for radical desorption is theoretically derived by a different approach, and its applicability to vinyl acetate and vinyl chloride emulsion polymerization is examined in detail using experimental data available in the literature. The theory developed here predicts the average number of radicals per polymer particle in the emulsion polymerization of vinyl acetate and vinyl chloride.

INTRODUCTION

The kinetic behavior of emulsion polymerization is greatly affected by radical desorption from polymer particles.^{1–5} The deviation of the kinetic behavior of the emulsion polymerization of water-soluble monomers such as vinyl acetate and vinyl chloride from the Smith–Ewart case II kinetic theory⁶ is partially due to dominant desorption of radicals from the polymer particles. Although the importance of this physical phenomenon was pointed out by Smith and Ewart in the 1940s, the quantitative understanding was insufficient to explain the kinetic deviation of the emulsion polymerization of vinyl acetate or vinyl chloride from the Smith–Ewart case II kinetic theory.

Recently, Ugelstad et al.¹ proposed a semiemprical rate coefficient for radical desorption in vinyl chloride emulsion polymerization. On the other hand, the present authors³ have derived a rate coefficient for radical desorption theoretically with a stochastic approach and have successfully applied it to vinyl acetate emulsion polymerization. In this article a theoretical rate coefficient for radical desorption will be derived with a deterministic approach for better understanding of this important physical process, and its applicability will be examined using experimental data available in the literature.

DEFINITION OF RADICAL DESORPTION

For simplicity, let us consider an emulsion polymerization system where the number of polymer particles is constant, the polymer particles contain, at most, one radical, and instantaneous termination takes place when another radical enters the particle which already contains one radical. These conditions are usually satisfied in the emulsion polymerization of vinyl acetate and vinyl chloride. In this case, the radical desorption process is defined in the following equation for active polymer particles containing a radical:

NOMURA AND HARADA

$$\frac{dN^*}{dt} = -k_f N^* - k_a R^*_w N^* + k_a R^*_w N_0 \tag{1}$$

The first term on the right-hand side of eq. (1) shows the rate of decrease in the number of active polymer particles by radical desorption from the polymer particles containing a radical, and k_f is the radical desorption coefficient. The second term usually expresses the rate of increase in the number of active polymer particles containing two radicals by radical entry from the water phase if instantaneous termination does not occur. However, if instantaneous termination does occur when another radical enters the particle already containing a radical, this term represents the rate of decrease in the number of active polymer particles containing a radical and, hence, should be negative. The third term indicates the rate of increase in the number of active polymer particles containing a radical and, hence, should be negative. The third term indicates the rate of increase in the number of active polymer particles containing a radical entry into the dead polymer particles containing no radical.

Let us consider here the diffusion of radicals. According to the two-film theory developed by Lewis and Whitman⁷ for mass transfer across the interface between two phases, the concentration gradients near the phase boundary can be assumed as shown in Figure 1. Considering the concentration gradients and Fick's diffusion law, the rate of radical desorption from a single polymer particle which contains n radicals is expressed by

$$J_{R*} = -\frac{d(v_p R_p^*)}{dt} = -\frac{dn}{dt} = k_s a_p (R_p^* - R_{pi}^*) = k_w a_p (R_{wi}^* - R_w^*)$$
(2)

where k_s and k_w respectively denote the film mass-transfer coefficients for the inner and outer diffusion films adjacent to the interface between the polymer particle and the water phase. The concentrations of the escaping radicals at the interface, which may be low, at equilibrium can be expressed by the following linear relationship:

$$R_{pi}^* = m R_{wi}^* \tag{3}$$

Using eqs. (2) and (3), we have

$$J_{R^*} = \frac{(R_p^* - mR_w^*)}{(1/k_s a_p) + (m/k_w a_p)} = K_s a_p (R_p^* - mR_w^*)$$
(4)

$$=\frac{(R_p^*/m - R_w^*)}{(1/mk_s a_p) + (m/k_w a_p)} = K_w a_p (R_p^*/m - R_w^*)$$
(5)

where



Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of concentration gradients near phase boundary.

$$\frac{1}{K_s} = \frac{1}{k_s} + \frac{m}{k_w} \tag{6}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{K_w} = \frac{1}{mk_s} + \frac{1}{k_w} \tag{7}$$

The overall resistance for mass transfer is the sum of individual ones. From eqs. (6) and (7), we obtain

$$K_s m = K_w \tag{8}$$

Let us consider the mass transfer coefficient in the individual diffusion films. There are a large number of published studies concerning the mass transfer coefficient around a spherical particle. One of these is the following semitheoretical equation proposed by Rantz and Marshall⁸:

$$Sh = 2 + 0.6 Re^{1/2} Sc^{1/3}$$
(9)

where Sh is the Sherwood number = $k_w d_p / D_w$, Re is the Reynolds number = $d_p u \rho / \mu$, and Sc is the Schmidt number = $\mu / \rho D_w$. Since such small spheres as emulsion polymer particles will move with the eddies of the fluid, there will be no relative velocity between the surface of the polymer particle and the fluid. Therefore, the value of Re can be regarded as zero, and hence Sh = 2. From the value of Sh = 2 we get

$$k_w = \frac{2D_w}{d_p} \tag{10}$$

On the other hand, mass transfer inside the viscous polymer particles occurs by molecular diffusion. According to an analytical solution to the mass transfer problem inside the polymer particle, average mass transfer coefficient between time $0 \sim t$, \bar{k}_{s} , is given as follows⁹:

$$\overline{k}_s = -\frac{d_p}{6t} \ln\left[\frac{6}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \exp\left(\frac{4n^2 \pi^2 D_p t}{d_p^2}\right)\right]$$
(11)

Since this coefficient is too complicated for our present purpose, and since it will be doubtful whether it is possible to apply the above coefficient to the diffusion of radicals inside the polymer particle where only one radical is transporting, we use an approximate mass transfer coefficient derived by the following simple treatment. The average time spent by a radical inside a polymer particle before it escapes out of the polymer particle, \bar{t} , can be calculated by the Einstein diffusion equation as follows:

$$\bar{t} = \frac{d_p^2}{2D_p} \tag{12}$$

On the other hand, since the radical concentration at the interface will be very low, the rate of radical desorption from a single polymer particle is expressed, according to eq. (2), as follows:

$$J_{R^*} = -\frac{d(v_p R_p^*)}{dt} = k_s a_p R_p^*$$
(13)

The average time spent by a radical before it escapes out of the polymer particle, \overline{t} , will be given as

NOMURA AND HARADA

$$\bar{t} = \frac{(v_p R_p^*)}{J_{R^*}} = \frac{(v_p R_p^*)}{k_s a_p R_p^*} = \frac{d_p}{6k_s}$$
(14)

Comparing eq. (12) with eq. (14), we can obtain

$$k_s = \frac{D_P}{3d_p} \tag{15}$$

Inserting eqs. (10) and (15) into eqs. (6) and (7) leads to

$$K_s = \frac{2D_w}{md_p} \left(1 + \frac{6D_w}{mD_p} \right)^{-1} = \frac{2D_w\delta}{md_p}$$
(16)

$$K_w = mK_s = \frac{2D_w\delta}{d_p} \tag{17}$$

where

$$\delta = \left(1 + \frac{6D_w}{mD_p}\right)^{-1} \tag{18}$$

Although it is doubtful whether it is possible to apply the diffusion theory to a polymer particle where only one radical is transporting, the above theory will be applied as a limiting case to the emulsion polymerization system where polymer particles contain, at most, one radical.

The desorption rate of d-unit radicals from polymer particles equals the rate of decrease in the number of active polymer particles containing a j-unit radical. Applying eq. (4), we have

$$-\frac{dN_{j}^{*}}{dt} = K_{sj}a_{p}\left[\left(\frac{1}{v_{p}}\right) - m_{j}R_{wj}^{*}\right]N_{j}^{*} + K_{sj}a_{p}\left[\left(\frac{0}{v_{p}}\right) - m_{j}R_{wj}^{*}\right](N_{0} + N_{j}^{*} + N_{1}^{*} + N_{2}^{*} + \dots + N_{j-1}^{*} + N_{j+1}^{*} + \dots$$
(19)

The first term on the right-hand side of eq. (19) represents the desorption rate of j-unit radicals from the polymer particles containing a j-unit radical. The last term expresses the absorption rate of j-unit radical from the water phase into the polymer particles. Rewriting eq. (19), we get

$$\frac{dN_j^*}{dt} = -K_{sj}a_p \left(\frac{a_p}{v_p}\right) N_j^* + K_{sj}a_p m_j R_{wj}^* N^* + K_{sj}a_p m_j R_{wj}^* N_0$$
(20)

where

$$N^* = N_I^* + N_1^* + N_2^* + \dots + N_{j-1}^* + N_j^* + N_{j+1}^* + \dots$$

The second term on the right-hand side of eq. (20) usually represents the rate of increase in the number of polymer particles containing two radicals, one of which is a *j*-unit radical. However, this term should be changed from positive to negative, because it expresses the rate of radical termination in the polymer particles. That is, it expresses the rate of decrease in the number of active polymer particles containing a radical if instantaneous termination is assumed to occur when another radical enters the polymer particle which already contains one. Thus, using eq. (8), eq. (20) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\frac{dN_{j}^{*}}{dt} = -K_{sj} \left(\frac{a_{p}}{v_{p}}\right) N_{j}^{*} - K_{wj} a_{p} R_{wj}^{*} N^{*} + K_{wj} a_{p} R_{wj}^{*} N_{0}$$
(21)

Summation of eq. (21) with respect to N_i^* leads to

$$\frac{dN^*}{dt} = \frac{d\Sigma N_j^*}{dt} = -(\Sigma K_{sj} N_j^*) \left(\frac{a_p}{v_p}\right) - (\Sigma K_{wj} R_{wj}^*) a_p N^* + (\Sigma K_{wj} R_{wj}^*) a_p N_0 \quad (22)$$

Equation (22) defines the radical desorption and absorption in the polymer particles and corresponds to eq. (1). Therefore, comparing the corresponding terms in eqs. (1) and (22), we have

$$k_{f} = \left(\frac{\Sigma K_{sj} N_{j}^{*}}{N^{*}}\right) \left(\frac{a_{p}}{v_{p}}\right) = \Sigma K_{0j} \left(\frac{N_{j}^{*}}{N^{*}}\right) \qquad K_{0j} = K_{sj} \left(\frac{a_{p}}{v_{p}}\right)$$
(23)

$$k_a = \left(\frac{\Sigma K_{wj} R_w^*}{R_w^*}\right) a_p = \Sigma K_{aj} \left(\frac{R_{wj}^*}{R_w^*}\right) \qquad K_{aj} = K_{wj} a_p \tag{24}$$

where

$$R_w^* = R_{wI}^* + R_{w1}^* + R_{w2}^* + \dots + R_{wj-1}^* + R_{wj}^* + R_{wj+1}^* + \dots$$

QUANTITATIVE EXPRESSION FOR RADICAL DESORPTION AND ABSORPTION COEFFICIENTS

To simplify the subsequent treatments, we make the following five assumptions: (1) Polymer particles contain at most one radical. (2) A radical no longer than s units can desorb from and enter the polymer particles with the same rate regardless of its chain length. (3) Instantaneous termination occurs when another radical enters the polymer particle which already contains a radical. (4) No distinction is made between radicals with or without an initiator fragment on its end. (5) Water-phase reactions such as termination and propagation can be neglected from the kinetic point of view.

According to assumption (2) given above, we can regard the values of K_{0j} and K_{aj} in eqs. (23) and (24) to be constant and equal to K_0 and K_a , respectively. Moreover, no radical longer than s units will be found in the water phase. Thus, eqs. (23) and (24) can be rewritten as follows;

$$k_{f} = K_{0I} \left(\frac{N_{I}^{*}}{N^{*}} \right) + K_{0} \left(\frac{N_{1}^{*}}{N^{*}} \right) + K_{0} \left(\frac{N_{2}^{*}}{N^{*}} \right) + \dots + K_{0} \left(\frac{N_{s}^{*}}{N^{*}} \right) \qquad K_{0j} = K_{0} \quad (25)$$

$$k_{a} = K_{a} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \left(\frac{R_{wj}^{*}}{R_{w}^{*}} \right) = K_{a} = K_{w} a_{p}$$
(26)

where K_{0I} is the coefficient for initiator radicals and K_0 is the coefficient for the radicals other than initiator radicals. Discrimination between the initiator and other radicals is made because chemical and physical properties are very different between these and, accordingly, the values of K_{0I} and K_0 may be different.

In order to get the relationship between N_j^* and N^* , we balance equations with respect to N_i^* and N_j^* which contain an initiator and a *j*-unit radical, respectively. Applying a stationary-state hypothesis to these equations, we obtain

$$\frac{dN_I^*}{dt} = K_{aI}R_{wI}^*N_0 - (k_aR_w^* + k_{mf}M_p + k_iM_p + K_{0I})N_I^* = 0$$
(27)

$$\frac{dN_{1}^{*}}{dt} = K_{a}R_{w1}^{*}N_{0} + k_{i}M_{p}N_{I}^{*} + k_{mf}M_{p}N^{*} - (k_{a}R_{w}^{*} + k_{mf}M_{p} + k_{p}M_{p} + K_{0})N_{1}^{*} = 0 \quad (28)$$

$$\frac{dN_{1}^{*}}{dN_{1}^{*}} = W_{0}R_{0}^{*}M_{0}R_{0}^{*} + k_{mf}M_{p} + k_{p}M_{p} + K_{0}(N_{1}^{*}) = 0 \quad (28)$$

$$\frac{dN_{j}}{dt} = K_{a}R_{wj}^{*}N_{0} + k_{p}M_{p}N_{j-1}^{*} - (k_{a}R_{w}^{*} + k_{mf}M_{p} + k_{p}M_{p} + K_{0})N_{j}^{*} = 0 \quad (29)$$

$$dN^{*}$$

$$\frac{dN_s}{dt} = K_a R_{ws}^* N_0 + k_p M_p N_{s-1}^* - (k_a R_w^* + k_{mf} M_p + k_p M_p + K_0) N_s^* = 0$$
(30)

$$R_{w}^{*} = R_{wI}^{*} + R_{w1}^{*} + R_{w2}^{*} + \dots + R_{ws-1}^{*} + R_{ws}^{*}$$
(31)

Further, by balancing with respect to the water phase radicals and applying a stationary-state hypothesis, we have

$$\frac{dR_{wI}^*}{dt} = r_i + K_{0I}N_I^* - K_{aI}R_{wI}^*N_T = 0$$
(32)

$$\frac{dR_{w1}^*}{dt} = K_0 N_1^* - K_a R_{w1}^* N_T = 0$$
(33)

$$\frac{dR_{wj}^*}{dt} = K_0 N_j^* - K_a R_{wj}^* N_T = 0$$
(34)

$$\frac{dR_{ws}^{*}}{dt} = K_0 N_s^{*} - K_a R_{ws}^{*} N_T = 0$$
(35)

Since high-molecular-weight polymers are produced in emulsion polymerization it is assumed that

$$k_a R_w^*, k_{mf} M_p \ll k_p M_p, k_i M_p, K_{0I}, K_0$$
(36)

From eqs. (27) and (32) and the definition that $N^* = \overline{n}N_T$, we obtain

$$N_l^* = \frac{r_i(1-\bar{n})}{K_{0l}\bar{n} + k_i M_p} \tag{37}$$

By similar treatments we have

$$N_1^* = \left(\frac{k_{mf}M_p}{K_0\overline{n} + k_pM_p}\right)N^* + \left(\frac{k_iM_p}{K_0\overline{n} + k_pM_p}\right)N_i^* \tag{38}$$

and

$$N_{j}^{*} = \left(\frac{k_{p}M_{p}}{K_{0}\overline{n} + k_{p}M_{p}}\right)^{j-1} N_{1}^{*} = \left(\frac{k_{p}M_{p}}{K_{0}\overline{n} + k_{p}M_{p}}\right)^{j} \left[\left(\frac{k_{mf}}{k_{p}}\right)N^{*} + \left(\frac{k_{i}}{k_{p}}\right)N^{*}_{I}\right]$$
(39)

Inserting eq. (39) into eq. (25) we have

$$k_f = K_{0I} \left(\frac{N_I^*}{N^*} \right) + K_0 \left[\left(\frac{k_{mf}}{k_p} \right) + \left(\frac{k_i}{k_p} \right) \left(\frac{N_I^*}{N^*} \right) \right] \sum_{j=1}^s \left(\frac{k_p M_p}{K_0 \overline{n} + k_p M_p} \right)^j$$
(40)

Initiator radicals are so reactive that the number of polymer particles containing an initiator radical, N_l^* , will be maintained at a low value. Therefore, when the transfer to monomer reaction is dominant, that is, the value of k_{mf}/k_p is large, it will be reasonable to consider that the term N_l^*/N^* in eq. (40) can be neglected. Thus, eq. (40) can be simplified as

$$k_f = K_0 z \left(\frac{k_{mf}}{k_p}\right) = \frac{12 D_w \delta z}{m d_p^2} \left(\frac{k_{mf}}{k_p}\right)$$
(41)

where

$$z = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \left(\frac{k_p M_p}{K_0 \overline{n} + k_p M_p} \right)^j \tag{42}$$

According to eq. (42), the value of z satisfies the following inequality:

$$0 < z < s \tag{43}$$

Equation (41) is the final expression for the rate coefficient for radical desorption from polymer particles. On the other hand, the rate coefficient for radical absorption can be obtained from eqs. (17) and (26) as follows:

$$k_a = 2\pi D_w \delta d_p \tag{44}$$

DISCUSSION

In the emulsion polymerization of vinyl acetate and vinyl chloride under normal conditions, the polymer particles contain, at most, one radical. For such emulsion polymerization systems, the following steady-state equations hold with reasonable accuracy for the number of active polymer particles, N^* , and the radical concentration in the water phase, R^*_w :

$$\frac{dN^*}{dt} = k_a R^*_w N_0 - k_f N^* - k_a R^*_w N^* = 0$$
(45)

$$\frac{dR_w^*}{dt} = r_i + k_f N^* - k_a R_w^* N_T = 0$$
(46)

Inserting eq. (46) into eq. (45) and solving it for \overline{n} , we have

$$\overline{n} = \frac{N^*}{N_T} = \frac{1}{2} \left[-\left(\frac{r_i}{k_f N_T}\right) + \sqrt{\left(\frac{r_i}{k_f N_T}\right)^2 + 2\left(\frac{r_i}{k_f N_T}\right)} \right]$$
(47)

For two limiting cases, eq. (47) is simplified as follows:

$$\overline{n} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{r_i}{k_f N_T} \to \infty \end{cases}$$
(48)

$$\left(\frac{r_i}{2k_f N_T}\right)^{1/2} \qquad \frac{r_i}{k_f N_T} < 10^{-2}$$
(49)

In Figure 2, theoretical values of \bar{n} calculated according to eq. (47) are plotted against the value of $r_i/k_f N_T$. Experimental data concerning vinyl acetate and vinyl chloride emulsion polymerization are also plotted and compared with the theoretical values (Fig. 2) to demonstrate that (1) eq. (47) is valid and (2) the desorption rate coefficient k_f can be applied to these emulsion polymerization systems. The numerical values for the constants used in this calculation are shown in Table I where only monomer radical can desorb from the polymer particles, that is, s = 1. The experimental data for vinyl chloride emulsion polymerization coincide very well with the theoretical values regardless of the uncertainty of the value of the effective initiator decomposition rate constant, $k_d f$. As for the emulsion polymerization of vinyl acetate (Fig. 2), the reported data^{5,11} deviate somewhat from the theoretical values, although the experimental data reported by Friis et al.⁴ are in good agreement with the theoretical values. The exact reason for this is not clear at present, but one possible cause may be



Fig. 2. Comparison between theoretical and experimental values of average number of radicals per particle, \overline{n} , calculated from conversion-vs.-time curve and the number of polymer particles.

the difference in the rate of radical production, because the experimental data reported by the former groups agree well with the theoretical values if 5×10^{-6} sec⁻¹ is employed as the value of $k_d f$. Among the three groups cited above, the reaction conditions and the ingredients used were the same, except that Friis et al. used NaHPO₃ to buffer the water phase; however, the difference of pH may not cause such a large decrease in the rate of radical production.

We refer here to the values of δ and z. In the beginning of the polymerization where monomer droplets exist in the water phase and hence the polymer particles are saturated with monomer, the value of δ (Table I) seems to be unity because the decrease in the value of D_p is not so remarkable. However, in a higher conversion range, the value of D_p will decrease markedly with the progress of the polymerization due to an increase in the viscosity inside the polymer particles. When the condition $6D_w/mD_p \gg 1$ is satisfied, namely, the diffusion resistance inside the polymer particle becomes dominant, the rate coefficient for radical desorption, k_f , is rewritten in the form

$$k_f = \frac{2D_p}{d_p^2} z \left(\frac{k_{mf}}{k_p}\right) \tag{50}$$

Constant	Unit	Vinyl acetate	Vinyl chloride
k_p	l./mole sec	3340	10000
k_{mf}/k_p	_	2×10^{-4}	1.2×10^{-3}
m		28	35
D_w	cm ² /sec	$1.9 imes10^{-5}$ a	$2.5 imes 10^{-5}$ a
k _d f	l./sec	1.5×10^{-6} b	1.5×10^{-6} b
$[M_p]_c$	moles/l.	8.9	6.2
z		1.0	1.0
δ		1.0	1.0

 TABLE I

 Numerical Values of Constants Used (50°C)

^a From Wilke and Chang.¹⁵

^b From Morris and Parts.¹⁶

In such a high monomer conversion range, the value of k_f decreases with the progress of polymerization, corresponding to the decrease in the value of D_p . As for the value of z, on the other hand, the actual value is almost unity because the comparison between the theoretical values and experimental data made assuming the value of z = 1, gives good agreement (Fig. 2). Further, since the value of $K_0\overline{n}$ in eq. (42) is usually about an order of magnitude smaller than that of k_pM_p , the radical which can desorb from the polymer particles seems to be principally a monomer radical.

Let us consider the rate of emulsion polymerization where eqs. (42) and (49) can be applied due to the high number of polymer particles. Combining eqs. (42) and (49), we have

$$r_{p} \propto \overline{n} N_{T} \propto N_{T}^{1/2} I_{0}^{1/2} d_{p} \propto N_{T}^{1/2} I_{0}^{1/2} v_{p}^{1/3}$$
(51)

After the complete absorption of monomer existing as droplets in the water phase, the following equation holds:

$$N_T v_p = V_p \tag{52}$$

where V_p is the total volume of polymer particles per unit water volume. Substitution of eq. (52) into eq. (51) leads to

$$r_{p} \propto N_{T}^{1/6} I_{0}^{1/2} V_{p}^{1/3}$$
 (53)

Ugelstad et al.¹ reported that when the number of polymer particles is high, the rate of vinyl chloride emulsion polymerization was proportional to the 0.15 power of the number of polymer particles and to the 0.3 power of the total volume of polymer particles, V_p . The present authors^{5,11} reported that eq. (53) predicted the rate of emulsion polymerization of vinyl acetate. van der Hoff¹² also reported that the rate of emulsion polymerization of styrene was proportional to the 0.17 power of the number of polymer particles if the number of polymer particles was very high. These experimental findings also support the validity of the theory developed in this work.

CONCLUSIONS

The rate coefficient for radical desorption derived in this study can be applied to the emulsion polymerization of vinyl acetate and vinyl chloride for predicting the average number of radicals per particle. In addition, the coefficient involves no unknown parameters which are impossible to estimate theoretically. This is a convenient and unique characteristic as compared with the coefficients proposed by Friis et al.⁴ and Ugelstad et al.¹ Finally, we hope that other investigators will examine the applicability of the rate coefficient for radical desorption to emulsion polymerization of other monomers.

NOMENCLATURE

- *a_p* surface area per polymer particle
- d_p diameter of polymer particle
- D_{w} diffusion coefficient of radicals in water
- D_p diffusion coefficient of radicals in a polymer particle
- I_0 initial initiator concentration
- J_{R^*} radical flux across the phase boundary
- k_f rate coefficient for radical desorption from a polymer particle

NOMURA AND HARADA

rate coefficient for radical absorption by a polymer particle k_a k_s film mass transfer coefficient defined by eq. (2) k_w film mass transfer coefficient defined by eq. (2) kdf effective production rate constant for initiator radicals overall mass transfer coefficient defined by eq. (4) K_s K_{sj} overall mass transfer coefficient defined by eq. (4) for j-unit radical K_w overall mass transfer coefficient defined by eq. (4) K_{wj} overall mass transfer coefficient defined by eq. (4) for j-unit radical K_{aI} mass transfer coefficient defined by eq. (24) for initiator radical K_{0I} mass transfer coefficient defined by eq. (23) for initiator radical k_p propagation rate constant ki rate constant for initiation reaction rate constant for chain transfer to monomer kmi partition coefficient for radical between polymer particle and water phases defined by т eq. (3) partition coefficient for j-units radical between polymer particle and water phases defined m_j by eq. (3) monomer concentration in polymer particle M_p n number of radicals per polymer particle \overline{n} average number of radicals per particle N^* number of active polymer particles containing a radical N_0 number of dead polymer particles containing no radical N_i^* number of active polymer particles containing j-unit radical N_{I}^{*} number of active polymer particles containing an initiator radical N_T total number of polymer particles per unit volume of water rate of radical production defined by $2k_d f I_0$ r_i R_w^* radical concentration in water phase R_{wj}^* concentration of *j*-unit radicals in water phase R_p^* radical concentration in polymer particle defined by n/v_p R_{pj}^* concentration of *j*-unit radicals in polymer particle R^*_{wI} concentration of initiator radical in water phase relative velocity between surface of polymer particle and water phase и volume per polymer particle v_p total volume of polymer particle in unit volume of water V_{ρ} density of polymer particle ρ viscosity in water phase μ δ ratio of film mass transfer resistance to overall mass transfer resistance $(m/k_w)/(1/K_s)$

References

1. J. Ugelstad, P. C. Mork, P. Dahl, and P. Rognes, J. Polym. Sci. Part C, 27, 49 (1969).

2. M. Litt, R. Patsiga, and V. Stannet, J. Polym. Sci., Part A-1, 8, 3607 (1970).

3. M. Harada, M. Nomura, W. Eguchi, and S. Nagata, J. Chem. Eng. Jpn., 4(1), 54 (1971).

4. N. Friis and L. Nyhagen, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 17, 2311 (1973).

5. M. Nomura, M. Harada, K. Nakagawara, W. Eguchi, and S. Nagata, J. Chem. Eng. Jpn., 4(2), 160 (1971).

6. W. J. Smith and R. H. Ewart, J. Chem. Phys., 16, 592 (1948).

7. W. K. Lewis and W. G. Whitman, Ind. Eng. Chem., 16, 1215 (1924).

8. W. E. Ranz and W. R. Marshall, Jr., Chem. Eng. Progr., 48, 141 (1952).

9. A. B. Newman, Trans. AIChE, 27, 310 (1931).

10. R. L. Zollars, J. Polym. Sci., 24, 1353 (1979).

11. M. Nomura, M. Harada, W. Eguchi, and S. Nagata, in *Emulsion Polymerization*, I. Piirma and J. L. Gardon, Eds., Am. Chem. Soc. Symp. Ser. 24, 1976, p. 102.

12. B. M. E. van der Hoff, J. Phys. Chem., 60, 1250 (1956).

13. K. Giskehaug, in Symposium on the Chemistry of Polymerization Processes, London, April, 1965, p. 235.

14. E. Peggion, F. Testa, and G. Talamini, Macromol. Chem., 71, 173 (1964).

15. C. R. Wilke and P. C. Chang, AIChE J., 1, 264 (1955).

16. C. E. M. Morris and A. G. Parts, Macromol. Chem., 119, 212 (1968).

Received January 3, 1980 Accepted June 16, 1980